

Agenda item no. 5 - Questions from members of the public

Question Number	Questioner	Question	Question to
PQ 1	Ms Russell, Hereford	<p>CO2 constitutes 0.04% of the atmosphere of which only 3% of this is manmade. China produces as much CO2 in one day as the UK produces in a year. If we had net Zero then you wouldn't eat, because when you eat you convert carbon, and breathe out 4% CO2. The 4% is then absorbed by plants. At 0.02% plants die. So if we had Net Zero you'd have to be dead and there would be no plants. Herefordshire is a rural county with a low population. The countryside absorbs our CO2 and feeds us fresh air. So the question therefore arises: why is Herefordshire Council striving for Net Zero, potentially restricting our lives and our choices due to larger emitters, when our major, minor contribution would mean so little?</p>	Cabinet member environment
<p>Response:</p> <p>I think the first point to get clear is what is meant by Net Zero – it does not mean that there is no carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. Therefore the idea that plants will die as a result of net zero is factually incorrect. It also does not mean that you can't eat.</p> <p>The United Nations describe Net Zero as 'cutting greenhouse gas emissions* to as close to zero as possible, with any remaining emissions re-absorbed from the atmosphere, by oceans and forests for instance.'</p> <p>*Emissions may be generally referred to as 'carbon' but include other greenhouse gases (GHG) such as methane.</p> <p>The United Nations explanation of why we need Net Zero is:</p> <p>The science shows clearly that in order to avert the worst impacts of climate change and preserve a livable planet, global temperature increase needs to be limited to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels. Currently, the Earth is already about 1.1°C warmer than it was in the late 1800s, and emissions continue to rise. To keep global warming to no more than 1.5°C – as called for in the Paris Agreement – emissions need to be reduced by 45% by 2030 and reach net zero by 2050.</p>			

- United Nations [Net Zero Coalition | United Nations](#)

On the current trajectory the planet will continue to heat up to over 3 degrees and this will lead to massive changes to ecology (including plant life) which cannot adapt as quickly as the planet is changing. It will impact equatorial regions and cause mass migration as well as severe food shortages. I would say that the outcomes you fear from Net Zero – not being able to eat and plants dying – are the consequences of the world not reducing its GHG emissions rather than the opposite.

China may produce more CO2 than Herefordshire but that does not mean that we can abdicate our responsibility to reduce emissions. It is interesting to note however that the Qinghai province in China is powered by 100% renewable electricity – which is not the case in Herefordshire yet. We are fortunate to have a relatively low CO2 but even so there is room for improvement.

- The Council is committed to providing residents with a transport network that supports all transport modes, enabling safe and sustainable travel choices for residents.
- The Council is also committed to leading a local response to the Climate & Ecological Emergency, which was recently reaffirmed by unanimous vote at Full Council on the 28th July.
- Here we have set targets, and are making good progress to achieve:
 - carbon neutrality across the Council's own emissions by 2030
 - and we are working with partners, businesses, communities and residents to achieve this countywide.

This does not mean making huge sacrifices or restricting lives and choices but it does mean considering different choices, being aware of the impact of the choices we make, taking some personal responsibility for that impact.

Herefordshire Council takes the Climate and Ecological Emergency seriously, it is at the heart of our policy forming and thinking. The UK Government has made great progress in not only reducing the GHG emissions of the UK but in supporting a just transition around the world. It has enshrined in the Environment Act the principle of 'integration' to consider the environment in all that you do and Herefordshire Council has additionally taken that upon itself. HC is proud to be working towards Net Zero as an organisation and is proud of the efforts that are being made throughout the county to reduce emissions. We have businesses and individuals who are all doing something to help – for instance the companies and individuals recognised in the recent greener footprints awards.

So, why are we striving for Net Zero? Because it is the right thing to do.

.

PQ 2	Mr Banks, Hereford	<p>In light of well-documented fire hazards associated with electric vehicles (EVs), recent Freedom of Information data reveals that in London over the past 5 years over 500 fires were caused by batteries in electric vehicles of all kinds. The fire service requires burnt out vehicles to stay at the site for 24 hours as they can reignite and explode, 48 hours if indoors.</p> <p>Given the potential personal liability for councillors and officers, it's crucial to ensure that duty of care is upheld, especially in authorizing EV use and addressing fire risks at charging stations or multi-story car parks.</p> <p>With the Fire Service's forthcoming EV fire regulations, I am concerned about the Council's preparedness. Has the Council integrated a Fire Service-approved safety plan into its EV planning and do the current safety measures align with impending regulations?</p>	Cabinet member transport and infrastructure
<p>Response: I can confirm that Herefordshire Council has reviewed the fire safety issues related to the councils EV charging equipment with our EV provider and that these comply with current fire safety legislation. This includes the charge points installed in Garrick multi storey car park.</p>			
PQ 3	Mr Pugh, Leominster	<p>Is the Herefordshire unitary authority policy of a limit to full council questions by the public of one hundred and forty words [to be delivered within a one minute (ideal) timeframe] acceptable and synonymous with transparency of full council actions, freedom of speech, and full council accountability to proffered questions especially those affecting the operation of full councils in both action or agenda where a full public interface to full council meetings would be denied or limited by only allowing a restricted one hundred and forty words and tiny timeframe to be allotted and permitted for what are often very complicated questions?</p>	Chairman
<p>Response: Every local authority has to have, publicise and keep an up to date written constitution that sets out its governance arrangements. Herefordshire Council approved the current constitutional arrangements in May 2022. This set out the current public question policy and process.</p> <p>It is important to note that council committees are formal meetings in public, they are not public meetings. In this regard, members of the public have the right to ask a question and receive a response to that question (subject to that question being agreed). Unlike a public meeting, council committees make no provision for public debate.</p>			

PQ 4	Mr Symonds, Ross-on-Wye	<p>Copse Cross Street in Ross regularly suffers vehicles leaving the town centre being driven on the footway. This is because the carriageway between Old Gloucester Road and the former Rosswyn Hotel is too narrow for 2 vehicles. Pedestrian safety and traffic flow relies on vehicles taking turns to prevent congestion. When this does not happen, vehicles queue back into Gloucester Road and drivers become impatient meaning some drive over the footway to get through. Officers were investigating installation of a yellow box in the narrow section of carriageway to prevent drivers entering it until their exit is clear, along with an enforcement camera to ensure compliance.</p> <p>Can the Council explain what is happening to address this problem please?</p>	Cabinet member transport and infrastructure
------	----------------------------	---	---

Response:

Thank you for your question.

The introduction of a yellow box at this location is only permissible where a priority movement arrangement can be introduced and due to insufficient forward visibility in this location, this could not be operated safely.

We note the comments regarding vehicles driving along the footway. Unfortunately the current footway widths along this length of highway prevent the installation of measures, such as bollards, to prevent this from occurring.

The most appropriate option to resolve this matter would be to restrict traffic flow to a single direction. Whilst there are no plans to do this at present, such a proposal would be subject to further consideration and consultation should funding become available.

PQ 5	Ms Banks, Hereford	<p>In 2019 Herefordshire Council declared a Climate Emergency but the British Government has yet to do this. The House of Commons declared an emergency but it was never acted upon.</p> <p>Why, therefore, are you following guidance from the World Economic Forum, an unelected body, rather than following our own elected Government?</p>	Cabinet member environment
------	-----------------------	--	----------------------------

Response:

The Council is committed to leading a local response to the Climate & Ecological Emergency and both the Council's initial declaration, and subsequent reaffirmation were voted on with a majority decision by the locally elected members of Full Council.

The importance of this commitment is reiterated within the most recent report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), who are the internationally accepted authority on climate change. Some headlines statements from this report include:

- o Human activities, principally through emissions of greenhouse gases, have unequivocally caused global warming, with global surface temperature reaching 1.1°C above 1850–1900 in 2011–2020.
- o Widespread and rapid changes in the atmosphere, ocean, cryosphere and biosphere have occurred.
- o Human-caused climate change is already affecting many weather and climate extremes in every region across the globe.
- o This has led to widespread adverse impacts and related losses and damages to nature and people.
- o Continued greenhouse gas emissions will lead to increasing global warming, with the best estimate of reaching 1.5°C in the near term in considered scenarios and modelled pathways.
- o Risks and projected adverse impacts and related losses and damages from climate change escalate with every increment of global warming.

PQ 6	Mrs McGeown, Weobley	<p>Ref: Public question(Q3) and supplementary, raised at 13th October full meeting, regarding the information letter provided by each school to parents to enable them to give fully informed consent for their children to receive a medical procedure within Herefordshire secondary schools (in this case the Flu vaccination).</p> <p>The concern of the questioner was that this letter should observe the ethical duties as per the “Montgomery Ruling” to inform parents of all “material risks”.</p> <p>Response from Cabinet Member Adults, Health and Wellbeing: "As a result of your queries and concerns I have asked our Director of Public Health to raise this matter Directly with NHS England and to ensure all the necessary ethical requirements are considered."</p> <p>So what happened? How did NHS England satisfy all ethical requirements and where are the details recorded? Is our Director of Public Health happy?</p>	Cabinet member adults, health and wellbeing
------	----------------------	---	---

Response:
 Thank you for your question. The Director of Public Health contacted the regional lead for NHS England to consider the ethical requirements around vaccination consent in schools in the context of the original enquiry. Both parties are satisfied that the current approach is appropriate and ethical. NHS England’s written response to the original enquiry, which referenced patient information leaflets and the medicines and healthcare products regulatory agency, is included below,

“NHS England have reviewed the vaccine offer letter used by Vaccination UK for the 2023/24 Flu vaccination programme in schools in Herefordshire. We are satisfied the letter is in line with the national guidance on immunisation consent found in Chapter 2 of the Green Book ([Consent: the green book, chapter 2 - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/consent-the-green-book)) There is no requirement for the offer letter to include the vaccine Patient Information Leaflet or details of relevant Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) reports. NHSE have searched the MHRA website and were unable to find MHRA reports specifically around the children’s nasal flu vaccine.”

PQ 7	Mr McGeown, Weobley	<p>In answer to PQ5, 13 October 2023, full meeting, Clr Jonathan Lester stated support for:</p> <p>“cross-party membership organisation that supports the most ambitious councils to go further and faster on their Net Zero and Clean Air targets”.</p> <p>There is widespread concern raised by “Council Watch” groups nationally about Carbon Literacy Training, Re-education and Certificates for Elected Representatives and Council Officers.</p> <p>Particular focus of concern is “The Carbon Literacy Trust” a £637,658 annual income organisation:</p> <p>https://carbonliteracy.com/organisation/</p> <p>And their Elected Members Course:</p> <p>https://carbonliteracy.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/LA-Elected-Members-Course-Overview.pdf</p> <p>So are Herefordshire Council using/intending to use this organisation or similar for Carbon Literacy Training for Councillors and Officers?</p>	Leader
<p>Response:</p> <p>We will soon begin work to develop our 4th carbon management plan for the period 2025/26 to 2030/31. Where any specialist support is needed, this would be procured as part of our normal procurement process rather than through a specific provider.</p>			
PQ 8	Mr Morfett, Hereford	<p>The Conservative party like to claim that they can be trusted with delivering value for money for taxpayers. In respect of securing funding for new transport projects the Council will have to show that it has followed the Treasury Green Book and compared alternatives to road building, such as rail, walking and cycling infrastructure, and that a stand alone road offers the best return on investment compared to the alternatives. What was the comparative returns on the alternatives to building the Southern Link Road, such as Pontrilas Station, investment in safe, active travel modes, etc to reduce pollution, tackle congestion and where is the report on these investment proposals?</p>	Cabinet member transport and infrastructure

Response:

The Southern Link Road was originally developed to Full Business Case stage in line with the Department for Transport's Green Book procedure. As part of that process, alternatives to road-building such as active travel and public transport improvements were considered in the early option appraisal stages before a preferred option was identified. Further progress of the scheme will include a review of the business case to take into account any changes such as scheme cost or travel patterns since the original case was produced.

Projects to improve active travel and public transport in the city are being pursued as part of the current Levelling Up Fund programme and are expected to have beneficial local impact. We remain committed to work with our partners to further investigate the options available at Pontrilas.

PQ 9

Mr Harrington,
Hereford

Can Cllr. Stoddart explain clearly, with reference to constitutional and financial rules, why the progression of the business case for Eastern River Crossing requires revenue but the Southern Link Road is being allocated capital without this project being adopted Council policy? I also understand some of the capital will be borrowing. Off the top of what precious and scare (thanks to our Conservative MPs voting for cuts) revenue stream does he intend to skim money to service the borrowing for a project the DfT wouldn't sign off the last time around because it didn't add up?

Cabinet member
finance and corporate services**Response:**

As defined in the councils capitalisation policy (extract below) and agreed with external auditors, the funding of the SLR can be treated as capital investment as it has an agreed and approved route. The Eastern River Crossing's route has yet to be determined and as such the scheme is still considered to be at the feasibility stage, hence it is funded from a revenue budget.

'The key parameter in distinguishing between revenue expenditure and capital expenditure is that the options have been narrowed to a definable parameter. It is not necessary that an absolute final option have been selected as this could be influenced through the planning application or detailed consultation processes. Therefore, to contextualise with a specific example, a major highways investment project could be capitalised from the point at which cabinet (or appropriate decision maker) approves a defined route.'

The funding is using £5m of the existing balance in the capital receipts reserve and £5.3m is funded from corporate funded borrowing, this is already allowed for in the Treasury Management Strategy and revenue budgets and therefore there has been no change to the revenue budget to fund this project.

PQ 10

Dr Geeson,
Hereford

In his Report to this Council meeting the Chief Executive talks about "the excellent progress we are making on our environmental performance" and "our continued commitment to net zero by 2030". That is to cut carbon emissions to zero by 2030, which is totally at odds with building long stretches of road, that would increase emissions hugely. The outline strategic business case for a

Cabinet member
transport and infrastructure

		stand-alone Southern Link Road, makes no mention of net zero. There is no mention of all the possible sustainable transport measures, that have already been identified, to reduce emissions and ease traffic congestion across Hereford. The anticipated benefits of a southern link road as listed are questionable, without any evidence to support them. So why does this Herefordshire Council propose to ignore the net zero commitment, and even to borrow to pursue this road?	
<p>Response: The Southern Link Road is considered to be part of a wider package of measures that includes active travel and public transport improvements like those currently being delivered with Levelling Up Funds. The Link Road's contribution to easing congestion on parts of the network should be viewed as being essential for helping to create the conditions where these measures can be successful. The Hereford Strategic Transport Review of 2020 identified the problems of severance caused by the A49 and the need to reassign road space in order to deliver high quality walking and cycling infrastructure.</p>			
PQ 11	Mr Summers, Hereford	Many years ago, Lower Bullingham residents were, as part of the for the relief road project, promised traffic calming measures on Holme Lacy Road. Since then, there have been a number of different plans drawn up and paid for by the taxpayer. Yet the residents are still not seeing the improvements they were promised. Can the Cabinet Member please inform the residents of Dinedor Hill Ward. ONE. How much has been spent over the years on design proposals for Holme Lacy Road? TWO. Perhaps a much a bigger concern was LEP money vired to the Enterprise Zone and if this is the case, (and I have no reason to believe differently,) when will it be vired back to the Quiet Routes Programme? THREE. When do you estimate that the promised Holme Lacy Road traffic calming be completed?	Cabinet member transport and infrastructure
<p>Response:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. £92,729 was spent historically producing feasibility designs, before the project grew from being minor cycling/walking improvements to fully comprehensive LTN1/20 cycleway improvements. £86,206 has since been spent on the contract with Price and Myers for design work associated with traffic calming measures alongside the development of new cycleways/walkways. 2. Funding for measures along Holme Lacy Road was tagged onto the Hereford Enterprise Zone funding bid for LEP funds back in 2020. Unfortunately, a scheme was unable to be delivered in line with LEP timescales and was therefore removed from the package. This is largely because the project grew from being minor cycling/walking improvements to fully comprehensive LTN1/20 cycleway improvements. Rather than return the funds to the LEP and they be lost from Herefordshire, they were used for additional plot development work at Hereford Enterprise Zone. Hereford Enterprise Zone phase 5 match funding could subsequently contribute towards improvements along Holme Lacy Road, which were earmarked for this purpose. It should be noted that the Hereford Enterprise Zone has fully funded the design work for both Holme Lacy Road and Quiet Routes. This design work is now nearing completion and Levelling Up Fund monies have also been secured to fully fund these projects. Design work for both schemes is expected to be completed this financial year, with construction scheduled to commence summer 2024. 			

3. Works on Holme Lacy Road Active Travel Measures's and Hereford Enterprise Zone Quiet Routes are scheduled to be completed by end of March 2025.

PQ 12	Mrs Wegg-Prosser, Hereford	Appendix A and C of the Capital Programme allocates £12,300,000 to the Southern Link Road, a scheme which failed its then business case during the previous Conservative administration. Cabinet Minutes 5.10.23 (#36) describe the Southern Link Road as conforming with requests from 'members of the public' to invest more in road infrastructure and that it will 'address congestion of A49'. Where is the evidence that points to members of the public requesting more investment in road infrastructure with no mention of sustainable transport measures, nor the attainment of carbon emission reduction, nor actual reduction in congestion on the A49? Please cite full evidence, not opinion.	Cabinet member transport and infrastructure
-------	-------------------------------	---	---

Response:

I consider the Southern Link Road to be a vital first step in developing a western bypass of the city that will create the conditions for sustainable transport measures in Hereford and an improvement in local air quality. A review of the business case will consider these wider benefits alongside an assessment of the carbon impact of its construction, maintenance and operation. Any new road scheme will be an integral part of the new Local Transport Plan that will set out the Council's plans for reducing overall transport carbon emissions.

PQ 13	Mrs Morawiecka, Hereford	The ambitions of a Council can be seen in its budget priorities. Councillor Price said in October that Pontrilas station is a priority for the Council and the Leader's report states that "Specifically I will be advocating the need for a new station at Pontrilas..... These infrastructure projects are the key to ensuring we attract the right skills and jobs to the county and with it a strong and prosperous economy." When a new railway station south of the City will do more to tackle road congestion, meet the Council's ambitions on environment, reducing air pollution and meeting net zero by 2030, why is the Cabinet only seeking to allocate millions to a stand-alone road project that fails to comply with these adopted policies and allocate nothing for developing a business case for Pontrilas station?	Cabinet member transport and infrastructure
-------	-----------------------------	---	---

Response:

As previously stated, the Council is committed to working with partners to investigate the case for a new Pontrilas station, as well as delivering the Hereford Bypass. Both of which are key to helping the economic growth for the County. We have not committed any capital funds to the Pontrilas station project at this stage. However, by working with partners, it is hoped that a review of the case for the station will recognise its wider economic, environmental and social benefits, and its role in a multi-modal transport system that offers people a choice in how and where to travel.

PQ 14	Ms Hornsey, Hereford	What is Herefordshire Council doing to provide for rough sleepers this winter and over the next subsequent years?	Cabinet member adults, health and wellbeing
<p>Response: I am pleased to confirm that following extensive work with the Homelessness Forum, provision will be in place for rough sleepers through the winter which will be fully operational from Monday 11 December 2023 and operate through until 31 March 2024. Dormitory style accommodation of 18 bed spaces for males will be provided in refurbished temporary accommodation owned by the council in the city centre, with space within the curtilage of the property to site four single occupancy 'pods' to accommodate females. The provision will be accessed from 8pm to 8am with volunteer wardens on site to support residents. Laundry and washing facilities, together with food and drinks, will be provided by a local voluntary organisation. The individual occupancy, secure pods provide a bed and toilet facilities. In addition, a further four single occupancy pods have been purchased which can be sited elsewhere to meet demand.</p> <p>This winter provision forms part of the council's wider pathway of support for rough sleepers and strategy for ending rough sleeping.</p>			
PQ 15	Mrs Steel, Hereford	<p>The Leader's report to Council mentions training in "Restorative Practice" for staff in Children's Services.</p> <p>The move away from a culture of parent blame is hugely welcomed. As Leeds Council recognises: "Restorative approaches are already widely established nationally and internationally as a highly effective way of achieving better outcomes for children, young people and their families.</p> <p>The Leader's report states that, "150 staff members [are] still to book onto a course."</p> <p>Restorative practice is not yet embedded within Children's Services and in some cases poor practice and parent-blaming attitudes are still harming families. When parents raise concerns, they are still not being listened to and their questions are not answered even when the questions are about safeguarding concerns. To whom should parents turn when they are not being listened to over safeguarding concerns?</p>	Cabinet member children and young people
<p>Response: The Improvement Partnership between Leeds and Herefordshire continues to progress in accordance with agreed delivery plans. The latest monitoring reports that Restorative Practice Training Sessions to date have been convened with 295 staff members, with a further 147 staff booked onto future dates and 150 additional staff still to book onto the additional sessions that have now been scheduled for January 2024. The Restorative Practice 'Train the Trainer' programme has also been completed with staff who will lead future delivery of the Restorative Practice Training Sessions with all new starters. There are several other elements of the delivery programme which are also progressing and remain on track. Initial feedback</p>			

from the Leeds team and our own workforce report that the training has been very well received although on-going training will be necessary to support further learning and development so that Restorative Practice becomes embedded and impactful.

Reports of poor practice and parent-blaming attitudes are concerning and should be raised directly with relevant staff and/or reported to their supervisor / line manager at the earliest opportunity so that intervention can lead to prompt action and early resolution. Where this is not possible, for whatever reason, the Council's Complaints Service can be contacted to try and achieve a resolution at stage 1 of the complaints procedure. If this is not possible, parents have an opportunity for the complaint to be investigated by an Independent Investigating Officer and Independent Person at stage 2 of the complaints procedures.

The Complaints Service and Children's Services have been working together with a number of families who have made complaints at stages 1 and 2. Recent complaints monitoring and reporting indicates that progress is being made with families, although some parents remain dissatisfied about some historic and current, matters and may elect to progress their complaint to an appeal at stage 3 of the complaints procedure. Ultimately, where parents remain dissatisfied with the outcome of a complaint about Children's Services they can contact the Ombudsman who can look into the result of a complaint and/or the way a complaint has been handled. The Ombudsman can't however look into complaints about anything that has been considered by a court and can't stop a council from taking court action or be used to appeal against a court decision as this will require parents to take legal advice.

There are no known current safeguarding concerns reported by parents or carers that have not been listened to and actioned appropriately or remain live and under review.

PQ 16	Mr Morgan, Leominster	<p>This Council meeting is the first since the publication of the latest Ofsted Monitoring Report (31/10/23) which is a damning indictment of this Council's care of looked-after children, in particular unaccompanied asylum-seeking children. There is NO mention of the concerns raised by Ofsted in either the report from the Chief Executive or the Leader. There is no acknowledgement of how we are failing these vulnerable children, no apology for what is clearly identified as discriminatory practice, and no hint of how we are going to do better. There is not even any reassurance that the safeguarding concerns raised by Ofsted have all been dealt with.</p> <p>How and when is the Council proposing to rectify its response to unaccompanied asylum-seeking children?</p>	Cabinet member children and young people
-------	--------------------------	--	---

Response:
The Ofsted feedback letter comments on a service offer that is inequitable and not yet inclusive but does not describe it as discriminatory. It is recognised that Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children (UASC) are a vulnerable cohort of our children looked after and that we need to do more to ensure the needs of this group are fully met. We have seen a significant increase in the numbers of children seeking asylum who are unaccompanied and the multi-agency provision of local services to meet their needs is under-developed. This is regrettable and we have developed an action plan to address this. The action plan ensures that Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children and young people will be supported to live with suitable connected carers

whenever this is in their best interests. We are also making sustained efforts to ensure that this cohort of young people has access to culturally appropriate placements, worship, activities, food and language.

As Corporate Parents we are looking at this holistically across all areas including Housing, Communities, Commissioning and Adults as well as Childrens Services. Corporate Parenting' means the collective responsibility of the Council, elected members, employees, and partner agencies to give care experienced children, young people and Care Leavers the opportunities and support we would want for our own children. This includes supporting them through to maturity and into adulthood. Since the Ofsted Monitoring Visit in September 2023 we have accommodated four new Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children within the boundaries of Herefordshire. There is also a UASC group where young people can feedback on their experience and for them to support to shape the service.

As with the previous Monitoring Visits, Ofsted did not formally raise any safeguarding concerns during the most recent Monitoring Visit. The letter from the 3rd Monitoring Visit did include that due to visits being undertaken at the minimum statutory frequency, there were some safeguarding concerns. These concerns had been addressed and there is ongoing review and scrutiny for these vulnerable young people. We have since amended our Practice Standards to reflect an increased visiting frequency to Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children and young people so that a relationship with their allocated worker can be formed allowing them to feel safe and to share information about themselves and their journey to the UK. This work provided part of the focus of the most recent Improvement Board and is also overseen by the Corporate Parenting Board.

PQ 17	Ms Protherough, Hereford	Cllr Price has recently informed the Council that the cost of the Eastern River Crossing cost has trebled over the last couple of years, so the Southern Link Road could now cost more than 3 times the original cost of £27million, or over £80million in today's prices. How can the Council justify reallocating £10.3 Million to negotiate the compulsory purchase of land, while a robust, financial business case is not in place and there is no funding for the actual road?	Cabinet member transport and infrastructure
-------	--------------------------	--	---

Response:
 The increase in costs for the Eastern River Crossing were identified as part of developing the Strategic Outline Case for the scheme. As a result of that more detailed work, the new bridge over the River Wye floodplain was identified to be significantly longer than originally envisaged and this is a significant element of the increased costs.

The costs of the Southern Link Road are not subject to the same level of change as the details of the scheme are well-developed. A review of costs as part of a wider review of the business case for the scheme puts the current estimate at around £35m. The updated business case will help us to identify other sources of funding. Once we have a robust funding package we will be able to start the necessary land purchase and other processes needed to progress the scheme.

PQ 18	Mr Emmett, Hereford	The Bypass issue for Hereford has become a fifty year litany of 'promises' and mistakes. The last being the procurement of land that wasted millions of pounds. Though the greatest worry is, in these times of Councils stripped to the delivery of 'statutory services' due it must be said by central government cutbacks, that Herefordshire Council deems it necessary to pursue this project again. Moreover, according to the Highways England's 2016 letter that highlighted the purpose of	Cabinet member transport and infrastructure
-------	---------------------	---	---

		the Bypass is to release 'pressure' on the Birmingham Box, can you reassure us that a decade long scheme, now costing millions more, is for the people of Herefordshire's benefit and not for 'wider' promises, involving as it will further unplanned urban spread?	
<p>Response: I would like to reassure Mr Emmett that the Council's view of the bypass is that it is for the benefit of Herefordshire residents and businesses. A bypass will take through traffic out of the city, improving road safety and creating better conditions for walking, cycling and bus users. It will also allow the city to grow, as it surely has to, to support its economic growth and prosperity for the future.</p>			
PQ 19	Ms Reid, Hereford	<p>I understand that some people engaged to do work for Herefordshire Council – I shall describe as “workers” - have accommodation (eg hotel, lodgings) paid for by the council. This is most likely to be for non-permanent workers who live some distance away from Herefordshire.</p> <p>For the period 1 April 2023 to 30 September 2023, how many “workers” had accommodation paid for by Herefordshire Council and how much did this cost the council?</p>	Cabinet member finance and corporate services
<p>Response: Between April and September 2023 the allowance has been paid to 32 Agency workers at a cost of £126,684</p>			